State of California — The Resources Agency DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION **PRIMARY RECORD** Primary HRI # Trinomial

NRHP Status Code

Zip: 95616

 Other Listings Review Code
 Reviewer
 Date

 Page 1 of 11
 *Resource Name or #: 434-436 E Street/ 610 5th Street

 P1. Other Identifier: none

 *P2. Location:
 □ Not for Publication

 ✓ Unrestricted

 *a. County Yolo

 *b. USGS 7.5' Quad
 Date

 T ; R ; ¼ of ¼ of Sec ; B.M.

- c. Address: 434 E Street/ 610 5th Street City: Davis
- d. UTM: Zone 10 S. 609640.06 mE/ 4267253.07 mN
- e. Other Locational Data: APN 070-213-007-000

*P3a. Description:

The subject property is located on a 0.138-acre lot on the corner of 5th and E Street. It is a one-story, duplex residence with a twostory addition that features an irregular footprint and modest elements of the Prairie or Modern Style. The original duplex residence (610 5th Street and 436 E Street) was constructed in 1959. It is supported by a concrete foundation, covered with brick cladding, and capped by a flat roof with composite shingles. The entrance to 610 5th Street is located at the east façade. The roof extends over a concrete driveway that provides access to a wooden, partially-glazed, screened-in entrance door, a gate to the south end of the lot, and a pair of wood doors for storage/utility access. The north façade consists of two structural bays. Each bay features five fixed, aluminum-frame windows and two one-over-one, single-hung, aluminum-sash windows. The entrance to 436 E Street is located on the west façade. The roof extends over a concrete driveway that provides access to a wooden, partially-glazed entrance door and a pair of wood doors for storage/utility access.

(continued on page 3)

*P3b. Resource Attributes: HP3. Multiple family property

*P4. Resources Present: 🛛 Building 🗆 Structure 🗆 Object 🗆 Site 🗆 District 🗆 Element of District 🗆 Other (Isolates, etc.)



P5b. Description of Photo: North façade of subject property, view facing south (ESA, 2024)

***P6. Date Constructed/Age and Source:** ⊠ Historic □ Prehistoric □ Both 1959 (610 5th Street and 436 E Street) Source: Yolo County Assessor, 2024.

2017 (434 E Street) Source: Permit #17-2170.

***P7. Owner and Address:** Petre-Styne Revocable Trust 502 Scripps Drive Davis, CA 95616

P8. Recorded by: Amy Langford, ESA 2600 Capitol Avenue, Suite 200 Sacramento, CA 95816

*P9. Date Recorded: April 2, 2024

*P10. Survey Type: intensive

*P11. Report Citation: none

*Attachments: □ NONE □ Location Map ⊠ Sketch Map ⊠ Continuation Sheet ⊠ Building, Structure, and Object Record □ Archaeological Record □ District Record □ Linear Feature Record □ Milling Station Record □ Rock Art Record □ Artifact Record □ Photograph Record □ Other (List):

 State of California — The Resources Agency
 Primary #

 DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
 HRI#

 BUILDING, STRUCTURE, AND OBJECT RECORD

*Resource Name or # 434-436 E Street/610 5th Street Page 2 of 11

- B1. Historic Name: 436 E Street/610 5th Street
- B2. Common Name: 434-436 E Street/610 5th Street
- B3. Original Use: multiple-family residence B4. Present Use: multiple-family residence
- *B5. Architectural Style: Prairie/Modern
- *B6. Construction History: (Construction date, alterations, and date of alterations)

Constructed in 1959. A two-story addition was constructed in 2017. See Table 1 on page 7.

- ***B7.** Moved? 🛛 No 🗆 Yes 🗆 Unknown Date: n/a Original Location: n/a ***B8.** Related Features: none
- B9a.
 Architect: Unknown
 b. Builder: Unknown

 *B10.
 Significance: Theme Explosive Growth (1959 1971)
 Area Downtown Davis

 Period of Significance 1959
 Property Type residential
 Applicable Criteria
 N/A

 (Discuss importance in terms of historical or architectural context as defined by theme, period, and geographic scope. Also address integrity.)
 Area
 And geographic scope. Also

Historic Context

In 2015, the Davis, California: Citywide Survey and Historic Context Update was prepared to provide a framework for the evaluation of 20th century resources within the City of Davis. It provided an updated historic context statement focusing on the World War II and post-war periods, evaluation criteria, and significance themes. The significance themes include Native American, Spanish, and Mexican Era (prehistory – 1847); Pioneer and Railroad Era (1848 – 1904); University Farm and University of California Era (1905 – present); Early Twentieth Century and Depression Era (1905 – 1939); World War II and Post-War (1940 – 1958); Explosive Growth (1959 – 1971); and Progressive Visions, Managed Growth (1972 – 2015). The subject property at 434 E/610 5th Street was originally constructed in 1959; therefore, it falls into the Explosive Growth (1959 – 1971) significance theme established in the 2015 historic context.

(Continued on page 3)

B11. Additional Resource Attributes: none ***B12.** References:

(See page 10)

- B13. Remarks: none
- *B14. Evaluator: Amy Langford *Date of Evaluation: April 2, 2024

(This space reserved for official comments.)



(Source: Google Earth, 2024)

State of California — Nate DEPARTMENT OF PARK CONTINUATION	S AND RECREATION	Primary # HRI # Trinomial	
Page 3 of 11	*Resource Name or #	# 434-436 E/ 610 5 th Street	

*Recorded by: Amy Langford ESA	*Date: April 2, 2024

☑ Continuation

□ Update

***P3a. Description:** (Continued from page 1)

The southwest portion of the residence is comprised of a two-story, wood-frame addition, clad in horizontal wood board siding, and capped with a flat roof with overhanging eaves. The primary façade of the addition faces west and features a partially glazed, wood panel door situated under a porch supported by wood posts. To the left of the entrance are three windows. Two windows are located at the second level. The addition's north façade features a pair of partially glazed, wood panel doors and a second pair of wood panel doors located under a recessed porch awning supported by a wood beam and post. Two fixed windows are located on the second level. The addition's south façade is partially concealed by a wood fence and appears to feature one small, fixed window and two windows at the first floor level and three asymmetrical fixed windows at the second floor level.



West façade of subject property with 2017 two-story addition, view facing southeast (ESA, 2024).

***B10.** Significance: (Continued from page 2)

The following early history of the City of Davis is taken from the Davis, California: Citywide Survey and Historic Context Update.¹

American settler Joseph Chiles bought a portion of the Rancho Laguna de Santos Calle, which he resold to his son-in-law Jerome Davis in 1854. Davis established a dairy and other ventures, and eventually his land holdings grew to 12,000 acres. After California became a state in 1850, other farmers, many of them German immigrants, began to settle in the area. Yolo County quickly became a prosperous farming region focused on grain, livestock and orchard crops.

In the 1860s, a group of five investors sometimes called the "Big Five" began planning a railroad routed through Davis' ranch, and by 1868 the California Pacific Railroad had built its line to the area, laying out the three-way junction in its present location and alignment, where the Woodland branch line turned north from the main line. The railroad also constructed a depot, and laid out a town around it as a speculative investment.

The arrival of the railroad was a turning point, creating an economic impetus to found a town out of what had previously been a collection of scattered farms. The railroad's investors laid out the town site adjacent to the depot, and by 1868 Davisville had about 400 residents. The railroad and new population spurred a brief

¹ Brunzell Historical. *Davis, California: Citywide Survey and Historic Context Update*. November 2015.

ate of California — Natura EPARTMENT OF PARKS A		Primary # HRI #		
ONTINUATION S		Trinomial		
age 4 of 11	*Resource Name o	r # 434-436 E/ 610 5th Street		
ecorded by: Amy Langford	J, ESA	*Date: April 2, 2024	Continuation	□ Update
farm village devoted activity along the rail the industry, howeve manufacturing plant, street, which built alr After the railroad pro serve local residents blacksmiths, carpent express office provid houses catered to tra stores opened, as di concentrated along t	to processing, storing, and road tracks, some of which er, was related to agriculture on the east side of the rail mond hullers. wided an economic impetus a. In addition to the farming- ters, livery stables, and wag led access to the world bey avelers. Retail businesses d a doctor's office and sho the railroad tracks, downtow	ad slowed. Davisville during the la I shipping agricultural products. The I shipping agricultural products. The I shipping agricultural products. The I shipping agricultural products as a road tracks with buildings on bothe s for a town, commercial establishes related businesses that were the gon-makers established business rond Davis, and hotels, restaurant such as grocery stores, butchers, e repair shop. With warehouses a wn was clustered between First ar shortlived weekly newspaper was	here was also industrial town in general. Most of the Schmeiser sides of what is now Th ments quickly sprang up community's raison d'ett es. A post office and ts, saloons, and boarding liquor stores, and clothir nd industrial services and Third Streets on G	f o to re, g
Davis Enterprise beg established an Odd I With the tiny downto block to the west. Ind that during the ninete gradual population g at a measured pace. was completely built growth of the little to	gan publishing in 1897. In a Fellows Lodge and Presbyt wn located on G Street, res dividual property owners bu eenth century many blocks rowth of this area (ten resid At the turn of the twentieth -out in the modern sense. T	addition to all the commercial activiterian and Roman Catholic church sidential development began arou uilt houses one at a time, and the had only one or two houses set of dents a year) meant that residenti in century, Davis did not have a sir The original town plat easily accor idivision was Rice's Addition in 18	rity, local residents nes. nd F Street just one mor availability of land mean in large parcels. The al construction proceede ngle residential block tha nmodated the gradual	re t ed t
		vide Survey and Historic Context l	Jpdate.	
begun to transform t was being transform complaining that dov occur, the biggest ch neighborhoods as it	d growth of the University, he town by the late 1950s. ed into a more sophisticate wntown was run-down and hange Downtown was that t grew to accommodate Dav gan towards the end of this	Davis' population, and its residen As noted above, the sleepy ninet ed "University City." By the late 19 in need of redevelopment. Althou the tiny commercial area began to ris' expanding population. Comme s era, with four grocery and retail of	eenth-century farm town 50s, local boosters were gh some demolitions did engulf adjacent residen prcial developments on	tial
managed growth at t 1961 that recommen manage the growth a slums," inappropriate would threaten surro year. The Core Area adjacent to Downtow block commercial de Core Area Plan neve development. A Dav period, arguing that newspaper explained "carefully calculated	the end of the 1950s. The L aded professional city plann already occurring. The stud e division of houses into mu bunding agricultural activity. Plan of 1961 expanded on vn into a high density area, evelopment and high-rise age er materialized, and planne is Enterprise photographic what some termed "urban s d that Davis' expansion out	of Commerce, residents once aga eague of Women Voters release hing, and adoption of a master pla ly warned that a lack of planning of litiple units, and non-contiguous r The city released a revised Gene on the 1950s plans to redevelop the envisioning an urban transformat partment housing. The most highly d growth during this period did no essay from early 1966 illustrated sprawl" was actually planned "peri side its original boundaries on all neter land, in every direction," and	d the results of a study ir n and housing code to could result in "potential esidential development t eral Plan later that same traditional neighborhoo ion that included mega- y urbanized concepts of t necessarily imply limitir the prevailing view of the meter growth." The sides was the result of a	hat ds the ng e

Commercial Properties

² Brunzell Historical, Davis, California: Citywide Survey and Historic Context Update, 2015, page 31.

State of California — Natural Resources Agency DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION CONTINUATION SHEET

Primary # HRI # Trinomial

Page 5 of 11

*Resource Name or # 434-436 E/ 610 5th Street

*Recorded by: Amy Langford, ESA

*Date: April 2, 2024

☑ Continuation

Update

Commercial development was no longer limited to Downtown, and was robust all over Davis. Downtown continued to grow as residential properties were converted to commercial use and older buildings demolished and replaced. Meanwhile, subdivision developers were building strip-type shopping centers to serve neighborhood retail needs. And land near the freeway, even in the remote southern portion of Davis, was becoming attractive to business owners who wanted space to construct a corporate headquarters or a land-intensive venture like a car dealership. Although function was emphasized for the strip-type development, Downtown and freeway adjacent commercial structures from the era were often ambitious, architect-designed buildings. Architect designed commercial buildings included strong examples of established styles, such as Silvio Barovetto's New Formalist Intercoast Insurance Building. Other architects during the period combined elements of various modernist styles to create unique buildings like the Downtown Wells Fargo Bank, designed by Gordon Stafford in 1965.

Residential Development

The continuing growth of the University intensified the population and residential expansion that had characterized the previous decade, and Davis grew rapidly in the 1960s. While the increased student population led to construction of apartments and duplexes, the growth of the academic and administrative staff was even more significant, as it brought new permanent residents to Davis. Fifty-six subdivisions were recorded between 1960 and 1969, many of which were double or triple the size of a typical subdivision from the immediate post-war period. The new neighborhoods required large tracts of land, and Davis began to grow beyond its original boundaries in all directions, crossing former de facto urban limits Highway 99 and Covell Boulevard. In 1969, Davis became the largest city in Yolo County. By 1970, it had 23,488 residents, and half of its workforce was employed in education. Population expansion led to growth in every aspect of local life, which was reflected in the city's primary and secondary education systems. Nine new local schools were constructed between 1952 and 1968. City services and infrastructure often lagged behind during this period, however. In 1965, for example, Davis still had only one traffic signal, on B Street near the High School (since 1981 City Hall).

Despite Davis' expansion in terms of housing, commercial activity, development of schools, and economic growth, the town took a hiatus from large annexations after the Chamber-led expansion in 1945. Additions to the size of the city were incremental and piecemeal in the 1950s. This did not slow development, and at least a dozen subdivisions were constructed outside city limits in the late 1950s. The pattern began to change after 1960, as developers continued to convert fields into subdivisions. Bruce Mace, a rancher with acreage east of Davis, broke ground on a new development at the end of 1959. El Macero Country Club and Golf Course was merely the initial stage of a large development that was to include hundreds of houses. Approximately three miles outside city limits, Davis leaders were afraid that it would become the nucleus of an adjacent competing city. Mace's plans spurred the Davis city council to undertake the largest annexation in city history. In 1966, Davis annexed 1.6 square miles of new territory south of Interstate 80 in order to head off uncontrolled development adjacent to town.

After 1965, public investment in infrastructure and amenities finally began to catch up with local residential growth with installation of the first local traffic light. Central Park was renovated the same year, major sewer lines were installed in new subdivisions north and west of Downtown, and new police and fire departments were completed. In 1967, Davis opened its new Community Park and public pool and purchased the Municipal Golf Course. In 1969, Davis voters approved new sewer facilities.

"Cluster Planning", which incorporated greenbelts into subdivisions, was an innovative form of development that began to take hold nationwide in the 1960s. It offered the environmental and quality-of-life benefits of increased open space, and allowed builders to avoid difficult terrain and save money by pouring less pavement. Cluster planning came to Davis in the mid-1960s. Like many development trends over the decades, more than one builder adopted the practice about the same time. By 1964, Alfred F. Smith was acquiring land in West Davis for his master-planned Stonegate development, which included a lake and golf course. In 1967, Gentry Development announced a 300-acre, 1400-house project that incorporated 19 acres of greenbelt and parks. Tom Gentry predicted that the open-space community would become a model for future development in Davis. Although Gentry had been developing in North Davis since 1965, he does not appear to have planned the greenbelt until the following year. Smith, though his planning was underway in the early1960s, did not break ground until near the end of the decade. Although its origins in Davis cannot be credited to one developer, what is certain is that cluster planning had become de rigeur in locally by the last decades of the twentieth century.

State of California — Natural Resources Agency Primary # DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION HRI # CONTINUATION SHEET Trinomial

Page 6 of 11

*Resource Name or # 434-436 E/ 610 5th Street

*Recorded by: Amy Langford, ESA

*Date: April 2, 2024

Continuation

□ Update

Davis Builders and Developers

More large-scale regional builders also came to Davis starting in the 1960s. Walker Donant, for example, built University Farms No. 3 in 1960. Although the company was new to Davis, they had been constructing housing in Sacramento since at least 1949. The firm built a few hundred houses in Davis over the next fifteen years, just a fraction of what it was building in the Sacramento area during that period. The Stanley M. Davis Organization had hit its stride Davis by the 1960s, developing hundreds of houses east of Downtown along with its partners. Most of the local subdividers from the immediate post-war period had constructed one or two subdivisions and then left real estate development. John Simmons, however, had gained momentum and by the late 1950s was recording three new subdivisions most years. Simmons remained an important Davis developer through the 1970s. Davis local John Whitcombe, who constructed his first house in 1959, was a newer entrant to the residential housing market. By 1970, he was an important local builder. In the 1960s, he built houses before moving on to apartment construction in the 1970s, becoming a pioneer in energy efficient construction techniques.

The Streng Brothers probably influenced the aesthetics of the Davis housing market more than any other developers during this period. They entered the Davis market in 1962 with the first of their Ivy Town subdivisions. Bill and Jim Streng, along with their architect Carter Sparks, were responsible for breaking the dominance of Tract Ranch style in the residential landscape of Davis. In the late 1950s, Streng Brothers had taken over an uncle's development company and inherited its architectural plans, which were for Tract Ranch houses. After teaming up with Sparks, however, the Strengs began offering Post-and-Beam designs.

Although the Strengs and Sparks were in many respects opposites in terms of temperament and philosophy, their differences allowed them to complement one another's strengths. The Strengs have described Sparks as the creative genius and give him credit for pushing them to use building practices normally associated with custom designs, such as finishing the rear elevation with the same materials and details as the main façade. Although Sparks insisted on more expensive fixtures at times, Post-and-Beam construction saved money on materials. But the artistic Sparks, who built about 50 custom houses as well as commercial and institutional buildings, could never have designed so many houses without the practical and business-minded Strengs. Bill had been educated as an accountant, and the brothers kept an eye on the bottom line, pushed Sparks to meet deadlines, and reined in some of his excesses. The Streng Brothers operation never had a money-losing year.[...]

Multi-family Housing

The apartment building, which had been present in Davis since the late 1940s, began to emerge as an important building type during the period of explosive growth. During the late 1950s and early 1960s, most Davis apartment buildings were still no more than two stories. Typically, they had between five and twenty units. By this time, apartment buildings were fully accepted as a respectable housing type, particularly for students, and developers did not usually bother offering the range of amenities used to promote early examples. Cal Davis Apartments at 340 Ninth Street, a two-story, twelve-unit building is a typical example. With an L-shaped plan, exterior entrances to each unit, large surface parking lot and little exterior ornamentation, the building was constructed to offer practical and affordable housing. As the 1960s progressed, Davis developers began constructing more apartment buildings than previously, and the average multi-family building began to grow progressively larger. The pace of apartment development is illustrated by one builder's statistics: Robert C. Powell constructed about 4,000 apartment units between 1961 and 1972. By the mid-1970s, Davis had about 60 apartment buildings. Whereas older apartments were often infill projects, after 1965 whole streets could be filled with multi-building apartment complexes. Many of these buildings occupied most or all of one- to three-acre parcels, and were sometimes starkly pragmatic buildings. The large, flat-roofed apartment building at 515 Sycamore Lane, constructed in 1965 and surrounded by multi-family housing, is a typical example.

At the start of the 1970s, developers introduced a new residential building type to Davis: condominiums or "Townhouse homes." Stanley M. Davis began selling Covell Commons (one- and two-story units with shared walls set in a greenbelt) in 1971. Marketing stressed the opportunity for home ownership without the responsibilities of maintenance or yard work. In an echo of developers' promotion of Davis's first apartment units two decades earlier, the Woodland Daily Democrat praised the development as "the utmost in luxury living combined with leisure." Like apartments, the townhouse became a lasting fixture of Davis residential neighborhoods.

State of California — Natural DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AN CONTINUATION SH	D RECREATION	Primary # HRI # Trinomial
Page 7 of 11	*Resource Name or #	434-436 E/ 610 5 th Street

*Recorded by: Amy Langford, ESA	*Date: April 2, 2024	Continuation	Update
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Subject Property

The residence at 434-436 E/ 610 5th Street was constructed in 1959 as a duplex residence. Archival review indicates that the subject property has functioned as a residence since its original construction in 1959. The subject property does not appear on any available Sanborn Fire Insurance maps for the City of Davis. However, a review of historic aerials appears to corroborate an original construction date of ca. 1959 (**Figure 1**). The building at 434-436 E/ 610 5th Street has been significantly altered since it's original construction, namely the construction of a two-story addition in 2017. **Table 1** below includes an account of these changes from the City's permit records. Known owners and occupants of the subject building are listed in **Table 2**.

TABLE 1: BUILDING PERMITS

Date	Permit Number	Notes
1958	382	Building permit for duplex at 610 5th Street and 436 E Street
2016	16-2965	Roof replaced
2017	17-2170	Third living unit addition constructed (436 E Street)

Sources: City of Davis Planning and Building Department

TABLE 2: OWNERS/OCCUPANTS

Year(s) of Occupation	Occupant(s)/Business	Notes
Ca. 1958-1959	William Harris, Jr. (owner)	
1970	James R. Vance (occupant)	Listed address 436 E Street (1970 City Directory)
1986	Phil and Joan Gaines (owner)	
2016-2017	Dennis M. and Donna P. Styne (owner)	

Sources: City of Davis Planning and Building Department; 1970 City Directory

State of California — Natural Resources Agency DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION CONTINUATION SHEET

Primary # HRI # Trinomial

Page 8 of 11

*Resource Name or # 434-436 E/ 610 5th Street

*Recorded by: Amy Langford, ESA

*Date: April 2, 2024

Continuation

□ Update



Source: UC Santa Barbara Library, Framefinder Aerial Imagery, CAS-65-130, 05/18/1965, accessed April 2, 2024. Figure 1: 1965 Aerial Photograph. 434 E/ 610 5th Street outlined in red.

Regulatory Framework

National Register of Historic Places

A property is eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (National Register) if it meets the National Register listing criteria at 36 CFR 60.4, as stated below:

The quality of significance in American history, architecture, archaeology, engineering, and culture is present in districts, sites, buildings, structures, and objects that possess integrity of location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling, and association and that:

- A) Are associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history, or
- B) Are associated with the lives of persons significant in our past, or
- C) Embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, or that represent the work of a master, or that possess high artistic values, or that represent a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction, or
- D) Have yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history

California Register of Historical Resources

To be eligible for the California Register of Historical Resources (California Register) a historical resource must be significant under one or more of the following criteria:

- 1. Is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of California's history and cultural heritage;
- 2. Is associated with the lives of persons important in our past;

State of California — Natural Resources Agency DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION CONTINUATION SHEET

Primary # HRI # Trinomial

Page 9 of 11

*Resource Name or # 434-436 E/ 610 5th Street

	*D . (— · · · ·
*Recorded by: Amy Langford, ESA	* Date: April 2, 2024	Continuation	L Update

- 3. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, region, or method of construction, represents the work of an important creative individual, or possesses high artistic values; or
- 4. Has yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important to prehistory or history.

City of Davis Landmark Resource

In addition to the National and California registers, the City of Davis provides for the additional designations of Landmark Resource and Merit Resource in their Historical Resources Management Zoning Code (40.23.060). To be eligible as a Landmark a resource must meet at least one of the four criteria at the local, state, or national level of significance and retain a high level of historic integrity.

- (1) Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns in the history of Davis, California, or the nation; or
- (2) Associated with the lives of significant persons in the history of Davis, California, or the nation; or
- (3) Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, architectural style or method of construction; or that represents the work of a master designer; or that possesses high artistic values; or that represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction; or
- (4) Has yielded or may likely yield archaeological or anthropological information important in the study of history, prehistory, or human culture.

The following factors must also be considered:

- (1) A resource moved from its original location may be designated a landmark if it is significant primarily for its architectural value or it is one of the most important surviving structures associated with an important person or historic event.
- (2) A birthplace or grave may be designated a landmark if it is that of a historical figure of outstanding importance within the history of Davis, the state or the nation and there are no other appropriate sites or resources directly associated with his or her life or achievements.
- (3) A reconstructed building may be designated a landmark if the reconstruction is historically accurate and is based on sounds historical documentation, is executed in a suitable environment, and if no other original structure survives that has the same historical association.
- (4) A resource achieving significance within the past fifty years may be designated a landmark if the resource is of exceptional importance within the history of Davis, the state or the nation.

City of Davis Merit Resource

In addition to the National and California registers, the City of Davis provides for the additional designations of Landmark Resource and Merit Resource in their Historical Resources Management Zoning Code (40.23.060). To be eligible as a Merit Resource must meet at least one of the four criteria and retain a high level of historic integrity. The four criteria to qualify as a Merit Resource as nearly identical to those for a Landmark except that Merit Resources only consider local significance.

The following factors must also be considered:

- (1) A resource moved from its original location may be designated a merit resource if it is significant for its architectural value or if an understanding of the associated important person or historic event has not been impaired by the relocation.
- (2) A birthplace or grave may be designated a merit resource if it is that of a historical figure of outstanding importance within the history of Davis and there are no other appropriate sites or resources directly associated with his or her life or achievements.
- (3) A reconstructed building may be designated a merit resource if the reconstruction is historically accurate and is based on sound historical documentation, is executed in a suitable environment, and if no other original structure survives that has the same historical association.
- (4) A resource achieving significance within the past fifty years may be designated a merit resource if it is of exceptional importance within the history of Davis.

Even if a resource is not listed in, or determined eligible for listing in, the California Register, the lead agency may consider the resource to be a "historical resource" for the purposes of CEQA provided that the lead agency determination is supported by substantial evidence (CEQA Guidelines 14 CCR 15064.5).

Evaluation

The following is an evaluation of 434-436 E/ 610 5th Street for potential historic significance under National Register Criteria A through D, California Register Criteria 1 through 4, Davis Landmark Criteria 1 through 4, and Davis Merit Resource Criteria 1

State of California — Natural Resources Agency	Primary #
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION	HRI#
CONTINUATION SHEET	Trinomial

*Resource Name or # 434-436 E/ 610 5th Street

*Recorded by: Amy Langford, ESA	*Date: April 2, 2024	Continuation	🗆 Update
	Date: , LoL I		

through 4. While the wording is slightly different for each of the four criteria for the National Register, California Register, Davis Landmark, and Davis Merit Resource eligibility, they each align to cover the same potential significance criterion. A/1/1/1 covers associations with significant historical events, B/2/2/2 covers significant people, C/3/3/3 covers significant architecture, and D/4/4/4 covers the information potential of a site.

A/1/1/1 - Events

Page 10 of 11

The subject property falls into the Explosive Growth (1959 - 1971) significance theme. Archival review indicates that 434-436 E/ 610 5th Street was constructed in 1959 in an area and time of accelerated residential development after World War II. It was built as a typical duplex dwelling, and it does not appear that there are any significant associations between 434-436 E/ 610 5th Street and important events or patterns in history. It does not appear to rise above the typical associations with multiple-family residential development or the contextual period of development. Therefore, it is recommended ineligible under Criteria A/1/1/1.

B/2/2/2 – Persons/Businesses

Archival review also does not indicate that there are any significant associations between 434-436 E/ 610 5th Street and significant persons or businesses. A review of building permit records, historical newspapers, census, and city directory data failed to identify any person of significance having lived in or been associated with the subject property. These records identified various owner and occupants, none of whom appear to rise to the level of significance. As research does not indicate that 434-436 E/ 610 5th Street is significantly associated with the productive life of any significant person or business, it is recommended <u>ineligible</u> under Criteria B/2/2/2.

C/3/3/3 - Design/Engineering

The subject property at 434-436 E/ 610 5th Street was constructed as a multiple-family residence in 1959 in a residential neighborhood in downtown Davis. It is a modest example of a mid-century residence and does not appear to be significant for its design or engineering. No specific architect, engineer, or designer is associated with the building at 434-436 E/ 610 5th Street, nor does it appear to be the work of a master architect. Furthermore, the a two-story addition constructed in 2017 has substantially altered the buildings original design and massing. For these reasons, 434-436 E/ 610 5th Street is recommended <u>ineligible</u> under Criteria C/3/3/3.

D/4/4/4 - Information Potential

Criterion D/4/4/4 applies to properties that have the potential to inform important research questions about human history. According to National Register Bulletin 15, to qualify for listing, the property must "have or have had information to contribute to our understanding of human history or prehistory and the information must be considered important." 434-436 E/ 610 5th Street does not meet this criterion and therefore is recommended <u>ineligible</u> under Criteria D/4/4/4.

Integrity

For a property to be eligible for listing in the National Register, California Register, or as Landmark or Merit resources per the City of Davis regulations it must meet one of the eligibility criteria discussed above as well as retain sufficient integrity. However, the subject property does not meet any of the eligibility criteria for significance; therefore, a discussion of integrity is not necessary.

Recommendation

ESA recommends 434-436 E/ 610 5th Street <u>ineligible</u> for listing in the National Register, California Register or locally as a Davis Landmark or Merit Resource.

*B12. References: (Continued from page 2)

Brunzell, Kara. *Davis, California: Citywide Survey and Historic Context Update*. Prepared for Cityof Davis. Prepared by Brunzell Historical. Napa, California. 2015.

City of Davis. Permit Record # 382. On file at the City of Davis, Planning and Building Department. 1958.

City of Davis. Permit Record # 16-2965. On file at the City of Davis, Planning and Building Department. 2016.

City of Davis. Permit Record # 17-2170. On file at the City of Davis, Planning and Building Department. 2017.

State of California — Natural Resources Agency DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION Primary # HRI# **CONTINUATION SHEET** Trinomial Page 11 of 11

*Resource Name or # 434-436 E/ 610 5th Street

*Recorded by: Amy Langford, ESA

*Date: April 2, 2024

☑ Continuation

□ Update

ParcelQuest.com. Yolo County Assessor's Parcel Data. Accessed April 2, 2024.

UC Santa Barbara Library. Framefinder Aerial Imagery. CAS-65-130. May 18, 1965. Accessed April 2, 2024.